

ON SHA'S SECONDARY CHERN-EULER CLASS

ZHAOHU NIE

ABSTRACT. For a manifold with boundary, the restriction of Chern's transgression form of the Euler curvature form over the boundary is closed. Its cohomology class is called the secondary Chern-Euler class and used by Sha to formulate a relative Poincaré-Hopf theorem, under the condition that the metric on the manifold is locally product near the boundary. We show that the secondary Chern-Euler form is exact away from the outward and inward unit normal vectors of the boundary by explicitly constructing a transgression form. Using Stokes' theorem, this evaluates the boundary term in Sha's relative Poincaré-Hopf theorem in terms of more classical indices of the tangential projection of a vector field. This evaluation in particular shows that Sha's relative Poincaré-Hopf theorem is equivalent to the more classical Law of Vector Fields.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth oriented compact Riemannian manifold with boundary M . Throughout the paper we fix $\dim X = n \geq 2$ and hence $\dim M = n - 1$. On M , one has a canonical decomposition

$$(1.1) \quad TX|_M \cong \nu \oplus TM,$$

where ν is the rank 1 trivial normal bundle of M .

In his famous proof [Che44, Che45] of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, Chern constructed a differential form Φ (see (2.6)) of degree $n-1$ on the tangent sphere bundle STX , consisting of unit vectors in TX , satisfying the following two conditions:

$$(1.2) \quad d\Phi = -\Omega,$$

where Ω is the Euler curvature form of X (pulled back to STX) when $\dim X$ is even and 0 otherwise, and

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_0 = \widetilde{d\sigma}_{n-1},$$

i.e., the 0th term $\widetilde{\Phi}_0$ of Φ is the relative unit volume form for the fibration $S^{n-1} \rightarrow STX \rightarrow X$ (see (2.7)).

By (1.2), one has

$$(1.3) \quad d\Phi = 0 \text{ on } STX|_M,$$

since even if $\dim X$ is even, $\Omega|_M = 0$ by dimensional reason. Following [Sha99], Φ on $STX|_M$ is called the *secondary Chern-Euler form*, whose cohomology class is called the secondary Chern-Euler class.

Date: February 8, 2010.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R20, 57R25.

Key words and phrases. Transgression, secondary Chern-Euler class, locally product metric, Law of Vector Fields.

Secondary Chern-Euler classes are useful in studying the relative Poincaré-Hopf theorem. Let V be a smooth vector field on X . We assume that V has only isolated singularities, i.e., the set $\text{Sing } V := \{x \in X | V(x) = 0\}$ is finite, and that the restriction $V|_M$ is nowhere zero. Define the index $\text{Ind}_x V$ of V at an isolated singularity x as usual (see, e.g., [Hir76, p. 136]), and let $\text{Ind } V = \sum_{x \in \text{Sing } V} \text{Ind}_x V$ denote the sum of the local indices. Also define

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha_V : M \rightarrow STX|_M; \quad x \mapsto \frac{V(x)}{|V(x)|}.$$

by rescaling V .

Following [Sha99], we assume throughout the paper the following condition:

$$(1.5) \quad \text{the metric on } X \text{ is } \textit{locally product} \text{ near the boundary } M,$$

which in particular implies that M is a totally geodesic submanifold of X . The general case is addressed in [Nie09].

Under condition (1.5), Sha [Sha99] proved his version of the relative Poincaré-Hopf theorem

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Ind } V - \int_{\alpha_V(M)} \Phi = \begin{cases} \chi(X) & \text{if } \dim X \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \dim X \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The starting point of this paper is to study Φ , or rather its certain restriction defined as follows. Let $\vec{n}$ denote the outward unit normal vector field of M . The images $\vec{n}(M)$ and $(-\vec{n})(M)$ in $STX|_M$ are the spaces of outward and inward unit normal vectors of M . Define

$$(1.7) \quad CSTM := STX|_M \setminus (\vec{n}(M) \cup (-\vec{n})(M))$$

(C for cylinder) to be the complement.

Theorem 1.8. *Under condition (1.5), Φ is exact on $CSTM$ (1.7). More precisely, there is a differential form Γ of degree $n - 2$ on $CSTM$ such that*

$$\Phi = d\Gamma.$$

The definition of Γ is in Definition 2.39, and the above theorem is proved right after that.

Theorem 1.8 and Stokes' theorem then allow the following concrete evaluation of Sha's term $\int_{\alpha_V(M)} \Phi$ in (1.6) in terms of more classical local indices. For a generic vector field V , let ∂V be the projection of $V|_M$ to TM according to (1.1), and let $\partial_- V$ (resp. $\partial_+ V$) be the restriction of ∂V to the subspace of M where V points inward (resp. outward) to X . Generically $\partial_\pm V$ have isolated singularities. (A non-generic V can always be modified by adding an extension to X of a normal vector field or a tangent vector field to M .)

Theorem 1.9. *Under condition (1.5) and for a generic vector field V , one has*

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\alpha_V(M)} \Phi = \begin{cases} -\text{Ind } \partial_- V & \text{if } \dim X \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\text{Ind } \partial_+ V - \text{Ind } \partial_- V) & \text{if } \dim X \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.11. Generically $\text{Ind } \partial_+ V + \text{Ind } \partial_- V = \text{Ind } \partial V = \chi(M)$ by the Poincaré-Hopf theorem. When $\dim X$ is even and hence $\dim M$ is odd, since $\chi(M) = 0$, one has equality between the two formulas in (1.10).

When $\dim X$ is odd, since $\chi(M) = 2\chi(X)$ by basic topological knowledge, one has the following reformulation of the odd case in (1.10)

$$(1.12) \quad \int_{\alpha_V(M)} \Phi = \frac{1}{2}\chi(M) - \text{Ind } \partial_- V = \chi(X) - \text{Ind } \partial_- V, \text{ if } \dim X \text{ is odd.}$$

We finish this introduction by explaining the relation of our result with the Law of Vector Fields. For a generic vector field V , using the flow along $-V$ and counting fixed points with multiplicities, one has the following *Law of Vector Fields*:

$$(1.13) \quad \text{Ind } V + \text{Ind } \partial_- V = \chi(X).$$

This was first proved by Morse [Mor29] and later on publicized by Gottlieb, who also coined the term.

Our result (1.10) and the reformulation (1.12) of the odd case then directly show that the two relative Poincaré-Hopf theorems, (1.6) and (1.13), are equivalent. Therefore following the route of the relative Poincaré-Hopf theorem of Sha [Sha99] under condition (1.5), our result (1.10) gives a purely differential-geometric proof of the Law of Vector Fields. Other differential-geometric proofs are given in [Nie09].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Wojciech Dorabiała for getting him interested in this topic, in particular Sha's paper [Sha99], and for motivating discussions. He also thanks the referee for careful reading and comments.

2. DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Throughout the paper, c_{j-1} denotes the volume of the unit $(j-1)$ -sphere S^{j-1} .

Chern's transgression form Φ is defined as follows. Choose oriented local orthonormal frames $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ for the tangent bundle TX . Let (ω_{ij}) and (Ω_{ij}) be the $\mathfrak{so}(n)$ -valued connection forms and curvature forms for the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the Riemannian metric on X defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla e_i = \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{ik} e_k,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Omega_{ij} = d\omega_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{ik} \omega_{kj}.$$

Let the u_i be the coordinate functions on STX in terms of the frames defined by

$$(2.3) \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(v) e_i, \quad \forall v \in STX.$$

Let the θ_i be the 1-forms on STX defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \theta_i = du_i + \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \omega_{ki}.$$

For $k = 0, 1, \dots, [\frac{n-1}{2}]$ (with $[-]$ standing for the integral part), define the degree $n-1$ forms on STX

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_k = \sum_{\tau} \epsilon(\tau) u_{\tau_1} \theta_{\tau_2} \cdots \theta_{\tau_{n-2k}} \Omega_{\tau_{n-2k+1} \tau_{n-2k+2}} \cdots \Omega_{\tau_{n-1} \tau_n},$$

where the summation runs over all permutations τ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $\epsilon(\tau)$ is the sign of τ . (The index k stands for the number of curvature forms involved. Hence

the restriction $0 \leq k \leq [\frac{n-1}{2}]$. This convention applies throughout the paper.) Define Chern's transgression form as

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi &= \frac{1}{(n-2)!!c_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} (-1)^k \frac{1}{2^k k! (n-2k-1)!!} \Phi_k \\ &=: \frac{1}{(n-2)!!c_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} \overline{\Phi}_k =: \sum_{k=0}^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} \widetilde{\Phi}_k. \end{aligned}$$

(See (2.23) for an explanation, in the case of M with dimension $n-1$, for the coefficients involved.) The Φ_k and hence Φ are invariant under $SO(n)$ -transformations of the local frames and hence are intrinsically defined. Note that the 0th term

$$(2.7) \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_0 = \frac{1}{(n-2)!!c_{n-1}} \frac{1}{(n-1)!!} \Phi_0 = \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} d\sigma_{n-1} = \widetilde{d\sigma}_{n-1}$$

is the relative unit volume form of the fibration $S^{n-1} \rightarrow STX \rightarrow X$, since by (2.5)

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi_0 = \sum_{\tau} \epsilon(\tau) u_{\tau_1} \theta_{\tau_2} \cdots \theta_{\tau_n} = (n-1)! d\sigma_{n-1}$$

(see [Che44, (26)]).

Now we start to transgress Φ (2.6) on $CSTM$ (1.7). At $TX|_M$, we choose oriented local orthonormal frames $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $e_1 = \vec{n}$ is the outward unit normal vector of M . Therefore $\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ are oriented local orthonormal frames for TM . Let ϕ be the angle coordinate on $STX|_M$ defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \phi(v) = \angle(v, e_1) = \angle(v, \vec{n}), \quad \forall v \in STX|_M.$$

One has from (2.3)

$$(2.10) \quad u_1 = \cos \phi.$$

Let

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} p : CSTM = STX|_M \setminus (\vec{n}(M) \cup (-\vec{n})(M)) &\rightarrow STM; \quad v \mapsto \frac{\partial v}{|\partial v|} \\ &\text{(in coordinates)} (\cos \phi, u_2, \dots, u_n) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sin \phi} (u_2, \dots, u_n) \end{aligned}$$

be the projection to the equator STM . By definition,

$$(2.12) \quad \text{for } x \in M, \quad \partial V(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_V(x) = \pm \vec{n}(x),$$

$$(2.13) \quad p \circ \alpha_V = \alpha_{\partial V} \text{ when } \partial V \neq 0.$$

The locally product metric (1.5) near M means that $\nabla e_1 = \nabla \vec{n} = 0$. Hence from (2.1) one has

$$(2.14) \quad \omega_{1*} = -\omega_{*1} = 0.$$

From (2.4), (2.10) and (2.14), one has

$$(2.15) \quad \theta_1 = -\sin \phi d\phi.$$

From (2.2) and (2.14), one also has

$$(2.16) \quad \Omega_{1*} = -\Omega_{*1} = 0.$$

We use the convention that τ is a permutation of $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and ρ is a permutation of $(2, \dots, n)$.

In view of (2.16) on $STX|_M$, the index 1 in the formula (2.5) for Φ_k appears in either u_{τ_1} or one of the θ_{τ_i} for $2 \leq i \leq n - 2k$. There are totally $n - 2k - 1$ possibilities for the second case.

Therefore, on $STX|_M$, one has the following more concrete

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi_k = u_1 \Xi_k - (n - 2k - 1) \theta_1 \Upsilon_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor,$$

where

$$(2.18) \quad \Upsilon_k = \sum_{\rho} \epsilon(\rho) u_{\rho_2} \theta_{\rho_3} \cdots \theta_{\rho_{n-2k}} \Omega_{\rho_{n-2k+1} \rho_{n-2k+2}} \cdots \Omega_{\rho_{n-1} \rho_n}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor,$$

$$(2.19) \quad \Xi_k = \sum_{\rho} \epsilon(\rho) \theta_{\rho_2} \theta_{\rho_3} \cdots \theta_{\rho_{n-2k}} \Omega_{\rho_{n-2k+1} \rho_{n-2k+2}} \cdots \Omega_{\rho_{n-1} \rho_n}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor.$$

The negative sign in (2.17) is from $\epsilon(\tau)$ in (2.5) when one moves θ_1 in front of u_{τ_1} . (When $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor + 1$, one can either define $\Upsilon_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} = 0$ by dimensional reason or observe its coefficient in (2.17) $n - 2k - 1 = 0$ for $k = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. This observation applies throughout the section.)

We use the convention to write superscript e for functions and forms defined on the equator STM of $STX|_M$. Using the $\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ as oriented local orthonormal frames for TM , we define u_i^e , θ_i^e and Φ_k^e as functions and forms on STM in the same way as in (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). Note that $\Omega_{ij}^e = \Omega_{ij}$ for $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ by (2.2) and (2.14). Therefore one has the degree $n - 2$ forms on STM

$$(2.20) \quad \Phi_k^e = \sum_{\rho} \epsilon(\rho) u_{\rho_2}^e \theta_{\rho_3}^e \cdots \theta_{\rho_{n-2k}}^e \Omega_{\rho_{n-2k+1} \rho_{n-2k+2}} \cdots \Omega_{\rho_{n-1} \rho_n}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor.$$

Following [Che44], also define the degree $n - 1$ forms on STM

$$(2.21) \quad \Psi_k^e = \sum_{\rho} \epsilon(\rho) \theta_{\rho_2}^e \theta_{\rho_3}^e \cdots \theta_{\rho_{n-2k}}^e \Omega_{\rho_{n-2k+1} \rho_{n-2k+2}} \cdots \Omega_{\rho_{n-1} \rho_n}, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor.$$

Note that the Φ_k^e and the Ψ_k^e are just the Υ_k in (2.18) and the Ξ_k in (2.19) with the superscript e . By dimensional reason one has

$$(2.22) \quad \Psi_0^e = 0.$$

On STM , Chern's basic formulas [Che44] are

$$(2.23) \quad d\Phi_k^e = \Psi_k^e + \frac{n - 2k - 2}{2(k + 1)} \Psi_{k+1}^e, \quad k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor.$$

(This also explains, over M with dimension $n - 1$, the construction of Φ in (2.6) for the purpose of consecutive cancellations.)

Lemma 2.24. *One has on $CSTM$ (1.7), for $k = 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$,*

$$(2.25) \quad \Phi_k = \sin^{n-2k-1} \phi \cos \phi p^* \Psi_k^e + (n - 2k - 1) \sin^{n-2k-2} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e.$$

Proof. For $2 \leq i \leq n$ and from (2.11), one has

$$(2.26) \quad p^* u_i^e = \frac{1}{\sin \phi} u_i.$$

Differentiating the above and using (2.4) and (2.14), one has

$$(2.27) \quad p^* \theta_i^e = \frac{1}{\sin \phi} \theta_i - \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin^2 \phi} d\phi u_i$$

Because of the presence of $d\phi$ and in view of (2.20), (2.26) and (2.27), one has

$$(2.28) \quad d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e = \frac{1}{\sin^{n-2k-1} \phi} d\phi \Upsilon_k \Rightarrow d\phi \Upsilon_k = \sin^{n-2k-1} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e,$$

where $n - 2k - 1$ is the number of u and θ 's in (2.20). Hence by (2.15), one has

$$(2.29) \quad \theta_1 \Upsilon_k = -\sin^{n-2k} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e,$$

Now the pullback of Ψ_k^e in (2.21) is slightly harder, since $d\phi$ may come up as in (2.27), but only once among the $(n - 2k - 1)$ θ^e 's. Therefore

$$(2.30) \quad p^* \Psi_k^e = \frac{1}{\sin^{n-2k-1} \phi} \Xi_k - (n - 2k - 1) \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin^{n-2k} \phi} d\phi \Upsilon_k.$$

Using (2.10) and (2.28), one then has

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1 \Xi_k &= \sin^{n-2k-1} \phi \cos \phi p^* \Psi_k^e + (n - 2k - 1) \frac{\cos^2 \phi}{\sin \phi} d\phi \Upsilon_k \\ &= \sin^{n-2k-1} \phi \cos \phi p^* \Psi_k^e + (n - 2k - 1) \sin^{n-2k-2} \phi \cos^2 \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.17), (2.29) and (2.31), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k &= u_1 \Xi_k - (n - 2k - 1) \theta_1 \Upsilon_k \\ &= \sin^{n-2k-1} \phi \cos \phi p^* \Psi_k^e + (n - 2k - 1) \sin^{n-2k-2} \phi \cos^2 \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e \\ &\quad + (n - 2k - 1) \sin^{n-2k} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e \\ &= \text{RHS of (2.25)} \end{aligned}$$

by $\cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi = 1$. □

Since $\Psi_0^e = 0$ (2.22), one has from (2.25)

$$(2.32) \quad \Phi_0 = (n - 1) \sin^{n-2} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_0^e.$$

Remark 2.33. In view of (2.8), (2.32) is just the relation (due to condition (1.5)) between the relative volume forms $d\sigma_{n-1}$ of $S^{n-1} \rightarrow STX|_M \rightarrow M$ and $d\sigma_{n-2}$ of $S^{n-2} \rightarrow STM \rightarrow M$

$$d\sigma_{n-1} = \sin^{n-2} \phi d\phi p^* d\sigma_{n-2}.$$

On one fixed sphere and its equator, this is an easy fact and follows from using spherical coordinates, which also accounts for the basic formula

$$(2.34) \quad c_{n-1} = c_{n-2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \phi d\phi.$$

Our goal is to find a differential form Γ such that $d\Gamma = \Phi$. We do this inductively starting from the above Φ_0 in (2.32). Therefore we need to use an antiderivative of $\sin^{n-2} \phi$.

Definition 2.35. For a non-negative integer b , define functions of ϕ

$$(2.36) \quad I_b(\phi) = \int \sin^b \phi d\phi,$$

where we require the arbitrary constants to be 0. More precisely,

$$(2.37) \quad I_b(\phi) = \begin{cases} \int_0^\phi \sin^b t \, dt, & \text{if } b \text{ is even,} \\ \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^\phi \sin^b t \, dt, & \text{if } b \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Integration by parts gives

$$(2.38) \quad bI_b(\phi) + \sin^{b-1} \phi \cos \phi = (b-1)I_{b-2}(\phi), \quad b \geq 2.$$

Clearly $I_0(\phi) = \phi$ and $I_1(\phi) = -\cos \phi$. These also inductively determine $I_b(\phi)$.

Definition 2.39. We define the following differential forms of degree $n-2$ on $CSTM$ (1.7)

$$(2.40) \quad \Gamma_k = I_{n-2k-2}(\phi)p^*\Phi_k^e, \quad k = 0, \dots, \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right],$$

$$(2.41) \quad \overline{\Gamma}_k = (-1)^k \frac{1}{2^k k! (n-2k-3)!!} \Gamma_k = (-1)^k \frac{1}{2^k k! (n-2k-1)!!} (n-2k-1) \Gamma_k$$

(with the convention $(-1)!! = 1$), and

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \frac{1}{(n-2)!! c_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]} \overline{\Gamma}_k \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-2)!! c_{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]} (-1)^k \frac{1}{2^k k! (n-2k-3)!!} I_{n-2k-2}(\phi) p^* \Phi_k^e. \end{aligned}$$

With this definition of Γ , now we prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. First by Chern's basic formula (2.23), for $k = 0, \dots, \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$,

$$(2.43) \quad d\Gamma_k = \sin^{n-2k-2} \phi \, d\phi \, p^* \Phi_k^e + I_{n-2k-2}(\phi) p^* \Psi_k^e + \frac{n-2k-2}{2(k+1)} I_{n-2k-2}(\phi) p^* \Psi_{k+1}^e.$$

Define

$$(2.44) \quad \overline{L}_k = (-1)^k \frac{n-2k}{2^k k! (n-2k-1)!!} I_{n-2k}(\phi) p^* \Psi_k^e, \quad k = 0, \dots, \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$$

(L for leftover).

Claim 2.45. For $k = 0, \dots, \left[\frac{n-2}{2}\right]$, one has

$$(2.46) \quad \sum_{i=0}^k \overline{\Phi}_i - d\left(\sum_{i=0}^k \overline{\Gamma}_i\right) = \overline{L}_{k+1}.$$

Proof of the claim. By induction. Actually (2.46) clearly holds for $k = -1$, since both sides are zero by natural reasons, (2.44) and (2.22). (One can also check the $k = 0$ case using the same reason as in the following induction step.)

Now assume (2.46) holds for $k-1$. Then using this induction hypothesis, plugging in all the formulas (2.44), (2.43), (2.41), (2.25), and by (2.38), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^k \overline{\Phi_i} - d(\sum_{i=0}^k \overline{\Gamma_i}) = \overline{L_k} + \overline{\Phi_k} - d\overline{\Gamma_k} \\
& = (-1)^k \frac{1}{2^k k! (n-2k-1)!!} [((n-2k)I_{n-2k}(\phi) + \sin^{n-2k-1} \phi \cos \phi) p^* \Psi_k^e \\
& \quad + (n-2k-1) \sin^{n-2k-2} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e - (n-2k-1) \sin^{n-2k-2} \phi d\phi p^* \Phi_k^e \\
& \quad - (n-2k-1) I_{n-2k-2}(\phi) p^* \Psi_k^e - \frac{(n-2k-2)}{2(k+1)} (n-2k-1) I_{n-2k-2}(\phi) p^* \Psi_{k+1}^e] \\
& = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{n-2k-2}{2^{k+1} (k+1)! (n-2k-3)!!} I_{n-2k-2}(\phi) p^* \Psi_{k+1}^e = \overline{L_{k+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

When $n = 2m$ for $m \geq 1$, $[\frac{n-2}{2}] = [\frac{n-1}{2}] = m-1$. Therefore to prove $\Phi = d\Gamma$, in view of (2.6) and (2.42), it suffices by (2.46) to proceed as follows

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{\Phi_i} - d(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{\Gamma_i}) = \overline{L_m} = 0$$

since $\overline{L_m} = 0$ from (2.44) due to the coefficient $n-2k$ on the top.

When $n = 2m+1$ for $m \geq 1$, $[\frac{n-2}{2}] = m-1$ and $[\frac{n-1}{2}] = m$. In view of (2.6), (2.42), (2.46), (2.44) and (2.25), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^m \overline{\Phi_i} - d(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \overline{\Gamma_i}) = \overline{L_m} + \overline{\Phi_m} \\
(2.47) \quad & = (-1)^m \frac{1}{2^m m!} (I_1(\phi) + \cos \phi) p^* \Psi_m^e = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

since $I_1(\phi) = -\cos \phi$ by Definition 2.35. The proof is now complete. □

3. INDICES

Now we are ready for the proof of Theorem 1.9 using Stokes' theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let $B_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V)$ (resp. $S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V)$) denote the union of small open balls (resp. spheres) of radii r in M around the finite set of points $\text{Sing } \partial V$. Then by (2.12), $\alpha_V(M \setminus B_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V)) \subset CSTM$. By Theorem 1.8 and Stokes' theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad & \int_{\alpha_V(M)} \Phi = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(M \setminus B_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V))} \Phi = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(M \setminus B_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V))} d\Gamma \\
& = - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V))} \Gamma = - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial V))} \frac{1}{(n-2)!! c_{n-1}} \overline{\Gamma_0},
\end{aligned}$$

since all the other $\overline{\Gamma_k}$ for $k \geq 1$ in (2.42) involve curvature forms and hence don't contribute in the limit when integrated over small spheres (see [Che45, §2]).

One has by Definition 2.39

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{(n-2)!! c_{n-1}} \overline{\Gamma_0} = \frac{1}{(n-2)!! c_{n-1}} \frac{1}{(n-3)!!} I_{n-2}(\phi) p^* \Phi_0^e = \frac{1}{c_{n-1}} I_{n-2}(\phi) p^* d\sigma_{n-2}$$

with $d\sigma_{n-2}$ being the relative volume form of $S^{n-2} \rightarrow STM \rightarrow M$, since $\Phi_0^e = (n-2)!d\sigma_{n-2}$ (cf. (2.8)).

Continuing (3.1) and using (3.2), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\alpha_V(M)} \Phi &= -\frac{1}{c_{n-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial_+ V) \cup S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial_- V))} I_{n-2}(\phi) p^* d\sigma_{n-2} \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{=} -\frac{1}{c_{n-1}} [I_{n-2}(0) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial_+ V))} d\sigma_{n-2} \\
&\quad + I_{n-2}(\pi) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha_V(S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial_- V))} d\sigma_{n-2}] \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} -\frac{c_{n-2}}{c_{n-1}} (I_{n-2}(0) \text{Ind } \partial_+ V + I_{n-2}(\pi) \text{Ind } \partial_- V) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{=} \begin{cases} -\text{Ind } \partial_- V & \text{if } n = \dim X \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2}(\text{Ind } \partial_+ V - \text{Ind } \partial_- V) & \text{if } n = \dim X \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Here equality (1) uses (2.13) and

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\alpha_V(x)) &\rightarrow \pi \text{ for } x \in S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial_- V), \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0, \\
\phi(\alpha_V(x)) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ for } x \in S_r^M(\text{Sing } \partial_+ V), \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Equality (2) is by the definition of index. In view of (2.37), one has

$$(3.3) \quad I_{n-2}(0) = 0, \quad I_{n-2}(\pi) = \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \phi d\phi, \text{ if } n \text{ is even,}$$

$$(3.4) \quad I_{n-2}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \phi d\phi, \quad I_{n-2}(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi \sin^{n-2} \phi d\phi, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd,}$$

where (3.4) uses symmetry of integrals. Then equality (3) follows from (2.34). $\square$

Remark 3.5. If instead of (2.37), one also defines $I_b(\phi) = \int_0^\phi \sin^b t dt$ for the odd case, it can be checked that one gets formulas different from but equivalent to ours.

REFERENCES

- [Che44] Shiing-shen Chern, *A simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **45** (1944), 747–752.
- [Che45] ———, *On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **46** (1945), 674–684.
- [Hir76] Morris W. Hirsch, *Differential topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 33.
- [Mor29] Marston Morse, *Singular Points of Vector Fields Under General Boundary Conditions*, Amer. J. Math. **51** (1929), no. 2, 165–178.
- [Nie09] Zhaohu Nie, *Secondary Chern-Euler forms and the Law of Vector Fields*, arXiv:0909.4754 (2009).
- [Sha99] Ji-Ping Sha, *A secondary Chern-Euler class*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 3, 1151–1158.

E-mail address: `znjie@psu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE ALTOONA, 3000 IVYSIDE PARK, ALTOONA, PA 16601, USA